

## Assessment of Work Quality of a Combined Saw and Splitter Processor

Raffaele Cavalli\*, Stefano Grigolato, Andrea Sgarbossa

Forest Operation Management Unit, Dept. Land, Environment, Agriculture and Forestry,  
University of Padova  
Viale dell'Università 16, 35020 Legnaro PD Italy  
[raffaele.cavalli@unipd.it](mailto:raffaele.cavalli@unipd.it)

### Abstract:

The growing interest about wood as fuel regards not only wood chips and pellets but also firewood, especially in mountain and rural areas where domestic heating plants are widely used. Due to the increased national market demand of firewood, harvesting activities have extended on broadleaved high forests as well as coppice. As consequence the diameter of logs has increased requiring larger and larger splitting machines; nowadays it is not uncommon to find on the market splitters able to process logs with diameter up to 50-60 cm. In order to enhance the productivity, the effort of machine producers is directed to obtain the complete splitting of the log into firewood in only one step using multiple ways splitting knives. This technical solution may cause some drawbacks especially when the splitting knives are not properly adapted to the log diameter; it happens that the size of firewood is not homogeneous and some splinters are produced, which require to use screens to separate them from the main product.

In order to evaluate the work quality of a combined saw and splitter processor, equipped with a multiple ways splitting knives, an experimental test has been carried out using a machine in which the log diameter is automatically detected through a laser device; according to the log diameter the multiple ways splitting knives (formed by fixed and mobile knives, the latter hydraulically operated) is properly set up to obtain regular sized firewood. Furthermore the log is automatically centered respect the splitting knives.

The results of the experimental test showed that, independently from the log diameter, the combined saw and splitter processor is able to produce firewood with homogeneous size and with a low production of splinters.

**Keywords:** firewood, saw and splitter processor, work quality

### 1 Introduction

This traditional technique of processing logs into a smaller and more handy firewood has been at the base of countries development for centuries, as it represents a fundamental source of fuel for energy. The current increasing dynamism of the firewood market has led to the development and improvement of technologies able to process wood more efficiently by reducing consistently the time and labor required for firewood production (Loibneggar 2011), as well as the risk of injuries for operators (Lindroos et al. 2008, Lindqvist and Nilsson 2011). This was done by designing machinery with high productivity and ergonomics standards, able to process log on a wide range of diameters and dimensions and maintaining as low as possible the production costs, even if the total purchase prices became higher if related to simpler and traditional methods.

The increased mechanization in firewood production not always has been followed by the increase in quality of the final product, especially regarding the size homogeneity, since often, especially during the automated splitting phase, a big amount of splinters is produced. On the other hand market and consumers have become very demanding regarding quality, especially for characteristics such as dimensions, wood species and water content (Casini 1998, De Luca 2004, Kärhä and Jouhiaho 2009). With the development in 2010 of the European Norm EN 14961-1, defining specifications and classes of solid biofuels, a standard for firewood (EN 14961-5) has been introduced for the first time defining three different quality classes for firewood for non-industrial use: A1, A2 and B. Such a system will be able to allow producers and dealers to declare the quality of their goods, differentiating them in the market with a labeling

scheme, while consumers' needs would be satisfied by a quality declared product (Kärhä and Jouhiaho 2009).

The aim of this work is to study the productivity of a combined and automated saw and splitter processor and the quality of the firewood produced since a lack of studies about productivity and quality of such machinery is creating uncertainties on their technical application.

## 2 Material and methods

### 2.1 The firewood processor

#### Machine overview

The firewood saw and splitter processor analyzed in this study is the model S400 (Table 1), designed and built by the Officine Tollot Raffaello S.r.l. The experimental trial was carried out in June 2012 in Farra d'Alpago (Belluno province) at a biomass terminal for firewood production. The terminal is organized with a wide open storage space, able to host a significant amount of raw material. It allows whole logs to dry down to the required water content (dry and ready-to-use firewood production), and it acts as raw material buffer to avoid useless and costly processing stops during the production period (fresh firewood production).

**Table 1: Technical characteristics of the firewood processor**

	Unit	Value
Model	-	S400
Weight	t	11
Dimensions	m	8.5 – 7.5 – 2.6
Electric voltage	V	380
Maximum power absorption	kW	50
Hydraulic pushing force	kN	294
Hydraulic splitting circuit pressure	MPa	20
Auxiliary hydraulic circuit pressure	MPa	8
Piston stroke time	s	9
Circular blade diameter	cm	120
Circular blade width	mm	9
Max log diameter	cm	40
Max splitting length	cm	40
Purchase price	€	75 000

The S400 is a combined saw and splitter processor equipped with a log feeding rack capable to hold 20 t of wood logs (approximately 20 logs: Ø 45 cm, length: 6 m) as maximum. The machine can be run both automatically or manually, as every single operation could be controlled by the operator. There are two control stations, specifically design to improve the adaptability of the machine to every working condition. One is located on a upper floor that allows the operator to access and control each section of the machine during the production process. The other one is located on the side of the machine, nearby


the final product conveyor, to allow the worker to operate a visual inspection on the product exiting from the splitting head. The feeding rack singularizes each log and moves it towards the feeding belt, where it falls after has been released. Here optical devices measure the length of the log by means of photocells. The data are acquired and analyzed by an electronic control unit that calculates the number and position of cross cuts needed to obtain an average length of every single chunk and to ensure a regular dimension of the final product.

### Sawing

The log is move ahead to the sawing station, where a vertical hydraulic clamp comes down to firmly tighten against four toothed rollers the trunk to be cut. A disc saw, hydraulically driven (blade diameter 120 cm, width 9 mm) can then operate the cross cut of each chunk at a pre-determined length and let it to fall down into a V-shaped saddle mounted on a chain conveyor that moves it to the splitting station.

### Splitting

A laser device measures the vertical diameter of the chunk and provides the control units with the data needed to center the piece to the centre of the splitting unit, where a auxiliary piston push and block the chunk on the splitting head. The splitting head is equipped with a fix cross knife, and other 12 multiple ways splitting knives, that are automatically arranged on the basis of the diameter recorded, to optimize and regularize the dimension of the chops produced (Figure 1). Chunks with a diameter less than 22 cm are split using only the fix cross knife (4 pieces). For diameters ranging from 22 cm to 27 cm four additional knives are lowered to operate (12 pieces). For diameters ranging from 27 cm to 33 cm no more knives are use, but a wider configuration of the present ones is set (12 pieces). Finally for diameters bigger than 33 cm the use of all 12 knives is required (20 pieces). Two parallel hydraulic pistons provide the 30 tons force needed to split the chunk into pieces passing through the knives. The high position of the splitting head allows the chops to fall down onto an inclined sieve that removes splinters that could be occasionally produced. A belt conveyor then lift firewood and released it into the final storage.



**Figure 1: The splitting head in its 12 knives configuration**

## 2.2 The experimental design

The experimental test was divided in two sections. The first one was dedicated to the evaluation of the overall productivity of the equipment, while the second one was dedicated to measure the quality of the firewood produced.

### Productivity

For the productivity test, 15 fresh beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L) logs were randomly picked up from the raw material storage and loaded on the feeding rack regardless of the direction and tapering to avoid influencing productivity of the machine. For each log two perpendicular measures of the middle diameters and the total length of the piece were recorded for the later volume estimation. The total volume of the processed wood was 3,8 m<sup>3</sup>, the average diameter was 28 cm, while the average log length was 4.05 m (Table 2).

Each log was marked with an identification number to make it recognizable during the processing operations. The operator was then asked to run the machine as usual at his own pace, not being influence by the experiment going on. A digital video camera was installed in the front of the machine, from where all the operations were visible. The recorded video was used in order to support the verification of the

timing and recording activity by manual stopwatches. The time consumption of each producing phase was measured according to a time study layout adapted to the basic time concepts proposed by Björheden (1991). Three work phases were identified: WT (working time), ADT (avoidable delay time), NDT (non avoidable delay time).

**Table 2: Description of the main characteristics of the lot of logs for the productivity test**

	Unit	Value
Total mass processed	kg	3 580
Total volume processed	m <sup>3</sup>	3.8
Max diameter processed	cm	33
Max log length processed	m	5.2

The net productivity of the work was obtained by dividing the total amount in terms of volume of firewood by the net working time, while the gross productivity was obtained by dividing the total amount of processed logs by the total working time.

#### Quality

For the quality test the operator loaded 11 logs of beech on the feeding rack randomly picked up from the raw material storage. The total volume of the processed wood was 2.7 m<sup>3</sup>, the average diameter was 26.4 cm while the average log length was 4.25 m (Table 3). Three people were involved in the test. The one located near the control station measured and recorded the length and diameter of each chunk sawn, while other two measured the dimension of the chops according to the limits imposed by the EN 14961-5 for the best quality of firewood for non-industrial use A1. To speed up this operation a metal measuring plate was prepared and provided with four diameter round tests (20 mm; 50 mm; 100 mm; 150 mm). Classes are defined as following: D2 ≤ 20 mm; D5 ≤ 50 mm; D10 ≤ 100 mm; D15 ≤ 150 mm; D15+ > 150 mm. Chops belonging to Classes D2 and D5 are considered to be ignition wood. Each chop passing through a hole was considered to belong to that specific dimension class. If a chop was stuck in a hole, it was then removed and tested for the smaller class. The chopping waste (i.e. splinters, sawdust, bark), that influenced negatively the productivity, was also measured. Small pieces of wood with a length shorter than the length of the chops were not measured, and considered as discard. Some pieces of wood were randomly collected from the last conveyor belt, tightly sealed, and sent to the laboratory where the moisture content was determined according to EN 14774:2009 norm and density according to EN 15150:2011 norm.

**Table 3: Description of the main characteristics of the lot of input logs for the quality study**

	Unit	Value
Total volume processed	m <sup>3</sup>	2.6
Bulk density	kg/m <sup>3</sup>	944.2
Moisture content	%	55
Maximum diameter processed	cm	38.5
Maximum log length processed	m	5.2

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Time and productivity study

For what it concern the time study, the distribution of the direct work time highlighted a 93.2% of main work time (WT), 6.4% of a avoidable delay time (ADT) and 0.4% of non avoidable delay time (NDT). The ADT were due to stops of the process imposed by the machine safety system. The majority of them were due by jam of the feeding device, when the last chunk of a log was going to be processed (the operator has to move to the sawing station and reposition the chunk correctly on its saddle). Other case regards chops that get stuck within the splitting head (the operator has to stop the automatic running of the machine and enlarge the knives configuration of the splitting head to let pieces to fall down easily). The average time to process a chunk from the saw descent to the splitter piston return was 9 seconds and the two production phases (cut and split) take place simultaneously on two different chunks.

For productivity study, the statistical analysis considered the development of a linear model with many variables, the evaluation of their non-linearity and the choice of the model by removing variables that were not significant and maintaining only the ones with a 0.05 level (length and diameter of log). The regression linear model assumed the use of logarithmic transformed variables in order to express nonlinear models in linear model form. Therefore, the time models were tested to obtain the best possible symmetrical distributions of residuals of the regression models and to achieve the best values for the coefficients. The statistical analysis was carried out using STATGRAPHICS® 16.1.

The output shows the results of a model of multiple linear regression to describe the relationship between time and the two independent variables (length and diameter of log). The equation of the fitted model resulted:

$$\text{Time} = \text{EXP}(3.38715 + 0.00212902 \cdot \text{Length} + 0.0622519 \cdot \text{Diameter})$$


Since the P-value in ANOVA (Table 4) is less than 0.05, there is a statistically significant relationship between the variables length and diameter at a confidence level of 95.0%. The R-squared statistic indicates that the fitted model explains 90.8005% of the variability in Time. The adapted R-squared statistical, which is most appropriate for comparing models with a different number of independent variables, was 89.2672%. The standard error of estimation shows that the standard deviation of the residues is 0.0639009.

**Table 4: Result of the statistical analysis (confidence interval at 95.0%)**

Source	Sum of square	d.f	Mean square	F	P-value
Length	0.0853741	1	0.0853741	20.91	0.0006
Diameter	0.398263	1	0.398263	97.53	0.0000
Model	0.483637	2			
Parameter	Estimation	St. error	Lower limit	Upper limit	
Constant	3.38715	0.253498	2.83482	3.93947	
Length	0.00212902	0.000428991	0.00119432	0.00306371	
Diameter	0.0622519	0.0063034	0.0485179	0.0759859	

The Durbin-Watson statistic (DW) evaluates the residues to determine if there is a significant correlation in the order in which they occur in the data file. Since the P-value is greater than 0.05, there is no indication of serial autocorrelation in the residuals to the confidence level of 95.0%.

Figure 2 shows the application of the productivity model. Four different representative log lengths were chosen to run the model, considering the more common lengths adopted in Italy for the harvesting of firewood logs (640 cm – 420 cm – 320 cm – 220 cm). The function clearly shows how the productivity of the system increases with the diameter for all classes of length up to the maximum value reached at 32 cm. After that, increasing diameters values reflect a decreasing in the total productivity of the machine. The ascending branch of the curve can be explained considering that the average chunk processing time (9 s) depends on the piston speed in the splitting station, and not on the size of the chunk to be split, while the volume and numbers of chops (that affects the productivity positively) are direct function of the incoming diameter. On the other hand, diameters bigger than 32 cm (max diameter to be processed 40 cm), involves the descent of all the multiple way knives available (12). Sometimes this fact results in a slowing down of the splitting process because of the increased friction between the knives and the wood. From the model it is also possible to notice that the total length of the incoming log is a key factor. The productivity in fact, increases considerably by running the machine with longer logs, because the time required to position the trunk in the feeding conveyor, during which the production stops (saw and split), is distributed over a greater volume processed. This trend is verified for log length ranging from 210 cm to 420 cm, but is inverted when considering higher values. In the model in fact the productivity connected with logs 640 cm long is lower than the one calculated for 420 cm long logs. This is probably due to the increasing influence of the tapering of the incoming log, that results in a certain number of chunks with a small diameter, that state it as a key factor affecting productivity.




**Figure 2: Productivity model according to mean diameter and length of the input log**

### 3.2 Quality of firewood


The parameters of firewood that have to be observed to define the overall quality are given in the EN 14961-5 norm, through which firewood for non-industrial use is classified. This norm refers to three different quality classes, on the bases of decreasing measured parameters: A1, A2 and B. The analysis of data about the firewood cross-section size, shown in Figure 3, reveals that 92% of chops produced are included in D10 and D15 classes, which are the ones recommended for high quality A1 firewood. A subsequent reselection of the product to separate D10 from D15 pieces does not seem to be effective from an end-user perspective, and, as a consequence, seems not to be convenient, as soon as the quality remains so high. Classes D2 and D5 do not exceed 5% in mass, and do not represent an issue for quality and price of the firewood. In the case that the presence of undersized particles is a key factor for the commercialization of the product, they can be easily removed from the load, packaged and sold separately as ignition wood. On the other hand, the presence of oversized chops (D15+) often is considered as a quality limiting factor for the end-user, especially when the firing appliances are small and domestic ones, where the size of the feeding aperture is the limiting factor. In that case oversized chops can be selected manually (directly by the machine operator) and proceed with an auxiliary splitting machine (vertical splitting wedge). Alternatively this material can be selected and stored separately and sold as fireplace woodfuel.

The length of firewood is predetermined by the cross-cut length and depends on the setting of the machine. It can be set automatically by the machine control unit, on the basis of the log length or it can be set at a fixed value by the operator, on the basis of specific needs. The results shows that the firewood produced is within the length class L33 according to the EN14961-5 norm. It means that more than 85% of chops have a length less than  $33 \pm 2$  cm, and no more than 15% of them are oversized. In the experiment measurements the average length of chucks was 25,15 cm and no pieces were longer than the limit value, proving that the automatic length setting is enough accurate to ensure a regular sized product (Figure 4). The process highlighted also a net production in mass of chops of 95% of the total mass processed.

The average moisture content, on dry bases was calculated to be 55%. This value is important to be considered, as fresh logs could produce less splinters and the total energy required for their processing could be reduced. Moreover splitting whole logs to produce firewood increases the surface exposed to the air as well as the surface on volume ratio, thus facilitating the drying process (Erkkilä and Alakangas, 2008) and reducing the risk of fermentation.



**Figure 3: Distribution of chops diameter classes (EN 14961-5 norm)**



**Figure 4: Distribution of chops length classes (EN14961-5 norm)**

#### 4 Conclusions

The increasing domestic demand in firewood is driving a strong evolution in the organization of the supply and production as well as the development of new technologies. New pieces of equipment are released every year to respond to the increasing needs of producers concerning productivity, safety of operators and quality of the final product. An innovative saw and splitter machine, capable to adapt the cutting length and the splitting head settings on the log and chunk dimensions has been experimented in this study with the aim of evaluating its productivity and quality. Results show that the automatic reading of log lengths and the correct settings of the control unit are key factors to ensure regularity in cuts that are maintained within specific ranges of variability. The automatic selection of the splitting knives configuration is able to give consistency to the cross section dimension, adapting and optimizing the

number and volume of chops produced at every run. On the other hand purchase costs are still fairly high, making the payback period longer if compared with other combined system with the same productivity. The high power required to drive all the hydraulic motors (approximately 50 kW) could represent another limiting factor for the machine installation. The organization of the working phases and supply logistics are fundamental to obtain high productivity levels and reduce delay times to the minimum, but, together with a appropriate seasoning of firewood produced can lead to the meeting of the higher level of firewood quality requirements.

## 5 References

- Björheden, R., 1991: Basic time concepts for international comparisons of time study reports. Int. J. Forest Eng., 2(2): 33–39.
- Casini, L., 1998: La legna da ardere in 10 punti (Explaining fuelwood in ten points). Sherwood – Foreste ed alberi oggi 4(11): 35-41.
- De Luca, E., 2004: Approvvigionamento e commercializzazione della legna da ardere (Supply and selling firewood). Sherwood – Foreste ed alberi oggi 10(7): 21-23.
- Erkkilä, A., Alakangas, E., 2008: Manual for firewood production - VTT. <http://www.northwoods.org.uk/> (Accessed 15.7.2012)
- Kähhä, K., Jouhiaho, A., 2009: Producing chopped firewood with firewood processors, Biomass and Bioenergy 33(9): 1300-1309.
- Lindqvist, A., Nilsson, O., 2011: Hand injury from powered wood splitters: machine safety, patterns of use and injury events, International journal of occupational safety and ergonomics 17(2): 175-186.
- Lindroos, O., Aspam, E., W., Lidestav, G., Neely, G., 2008: Accidents in family's firewood production, Accident analysis & prevention 40(3): 877-886.
- Loibneggar, T., 2011: Roadmap for implementing standards. <http://www.woodheatsolutions.eu/> (Accessed 16.7.2012).